

# **UIUC Clean Energy Transition Plan**



Chris Rydberg, Sarah Fries, Tess Browne, Xander Uhm, Luke Liu Capstone Associates



Conclusion: The University will need to implement a diverse collection of energy conservation and generation techniques. These recommendations are outlined below.

# Our Goal

- · Create a scoping document for a new clean energy transition plan for the UIUC · Document will be reviewed by F&S and presented to an external engineering
- consulting firm as a basis for energy transition
- Our goal was not:
  - Considering carbon emissions from transportation
- Officially) considering a budget- committee will advocate our cost to the university.
- Planning in depth technical details, this will be done by consulting engineers

#### Our Approach

- · Study existing UIUC campus plans; Use as a basis · Interview subject matter experts on:
- Their energy system expertise
- Energy conservation/generation techniques
- Campus specific energy generation/transmission/use
- · Incorporate ideas from successful energy plans of peer institutions
- · Implement clean energy generation techniques into plan

#### Conservation

Conservation is underempathessied in current campus plans. There needs to be a much greater focus on this, especially in the short term, while generation technologies are developing.

#### Preventing Additional Energy Loads:

- New building constructions should be <u>avoided</u> as much as possible
- Any new buildings need to follow strict sustainability/energy efficiency standards:



Chilled water for air conditioning, hot water for heating HVAC sensors and scheduling

Roof structured to support possible future solar project

Recycled/recyclable building materials, preferably from local manufacturers, to reduce transportation emi

Platinum LEED certification

- Highly insulated building envelope (efficient windows, adequate insulation, white reflective roofing to keep the
- building coo

Solar

Storage:

explode)

Solar Farms:

drawbacks:

technologies:

#### Current Building Conservations: · Expand existing retro-commissioning team

- Current team has been successful Over \$100 Million saved since started in 2007
- Average <u>25% energy reduction</u> per building project
- Updates include:
- Surveying the building for key issues (that can be addressed during the project or noted for future extensive renovations)
- HVAC and lighting schedules and sensors General maintenance Large mechanical renovations

**Generation Techniques** 

- Currently lots of deferred maintenance (old
- mechanical equipment wasting lots of energy) · Replace these with efficient equipment
- · Can be done in sequence with steam transition

## **Policy Changes**

One significant policy change would be through promoting future building efficiencies by encouraging all new construction projects to implement existing building strategies that promote net-zero functionality. We can additionally study existing buildings to see if there are viable ways to renovate current systems

In addition, we would encourage the university to make institutional changes such as valuing long-term sustainability over short-term profitability by making significant investments into renewables, even if not economically lucrative in the short term

Policies should be made to encourage individual departments to save energy wherever possible through social and financial incentives, while also giving individual departments within the university more responsibility and autonomy to determine energy policy.



#### Future Work

- · Each technology listed has the potential to be improved upon with more time and research
- · Micro-nuclear reactors will not be commercially available for at least 8-10 years. This is a

relatively new technology and currently has too high of a start-up and licensing price to be actively implemented

- · Solar power can be improved with the improvement of batteries and the amount of energy that can efficiently be stored for use during non-generation hours
- · Geothermal energy would need a campus-specific, geological land survey to determine a viable area for a large scale implementation of geothermal energy

# Nuclear

ON LE CORE

Source: UIUC F&S

- A realistic, long-term solution:
- Current progress is being made on campus to research and test the viability of micro-nuclear reactors
- Micro-nuclear is emission-free, reliable and boasts the potential to be portable and fully factory fabricated
- · Advancements in technology indicate that the effects of nuclear radiation and the quantities of nuclear waste would not significantly damage ecosystems and inhabitants

functional generation resource for the campus



Source: Idebo National Leborator

# Downside: Infrastructure conversion will be expensive, potential to use some existing

Steam is outdated and much too hot for the building heating needs

· Implement on smaller scale in the most compatible buildings, and spread to the remainder of the campus over time

· Campus heating is currently reliant on steam from Abbott through the burning of fossil fuels

· Hot Water System would allow for the electrification of heating, thus allowing the use of

### Geothermal



- Extremely efficient
- Low operational costs
- Implement on a building by building basis based on compatibility:
- · Underground space and geology
- · Access availability for future repairs
- Heating/cooling load of a building
- Case Study: Data from the Campus Instructional Facility in the coming years can be used to help determine the widespread feasibility of campus geothermal.



Source: Ameren Illinois

- · Current storage technologies are expensive and dangerous (i.e: leading technology lithium-ion batteries are expensive and have tendencies to · We should devote research to alternative storage technologies:
- Improved storage technologies would significantly increase the capacity and reliability of solar technology, thus allowing us to implement them on a larger scale

• Existing Solar Farms 1.0 and 2.0 are proven indicators that solar can be a

· Solar is not effective during non-peak hours (during night hours and

would not be efficiently sustained by currently available storage

period of low insolence), thus is not reliable as a standalone resource

Adding more panels may lead to a surplus of generated energy, which

• Solar farm 3.0 is actively being considered, however there are certain

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL AND BIOLOGICAL ENGINEERING

al, Consumer and Environmental Scie of Agricultural

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This project was completed in collaboration with F&S and iSEE. We would like to thank Morgan White for the guidance, and for the insight from everyone we spoke to including: Bill Rose, Andrew Stumpf, Xining Cai, Maria Maring, Gabriel Kosmacher, Rob Roman, Scott Willenbrock, Cynthia Klein-Banai, Caleb Brooks, Clark Bullard, Xinlei Wang, John Zhao, Mike Larson, Paul Foote, Karl Helmink

· Technology that utilizes heat transfer from the outside air

· Less efficient than geothermal, but much easier to implement

· Implement in centralized location or direct building connection



Conversion from Steam to Hot Water Heating System

renewables to power it

infrastructure

Source: SunTec

Air Source Heat Pumps